Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Appli	cant(s)
	09/697,123	LEE F	ET AL.
	Examiner	Art U	nit
	Diana B. Johann	sen 1634	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>			
(1) <u>Díana Johannsen</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Gary Tanigawa</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>9/24/03</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representativ	e)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: all pending		·	
Prior art documents discussed: NA			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE On 9/16/2003, discussed possible amendments to further allowance. Applicant filed a supplemental amendment of	er clarify the claimed invention	n and place claims 2-	3 and 5 in condition for
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a seprential directly resulted in the allowance of the applicated of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a seprential direction. 	ion. T he examiner will pro	ovide a written sumi	mary of the substance
did-not-result-in-resolution of all-issues. (A brief s	ummary by the examiner	appears in Part II a	bove.
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Apr	oplicant/Applicant's Repre	sentative Signature	if annropriate)
(A)	phodition pphoditis inchie	Jonative Olynatule	- II appropriate)